

New analytic solutions of the non-relativistic hydrodynamical equations

P. Csizmadia, T. Csörgő and B. Lukács

MTA KFKI RMKI, H - 1525 Budapest 114, POB 49, Hungary

Abstract

New solutions are found for the non-relativistic hydrodynamical equations. These solutions describe expanding matter with a Gaussian density profile. In the simplest case, thermal equilibrium is maintained *without any interaction*, the energy is conserved, and the process is isentropic. More general solutions are also obtained that describe explosions driven by heat production, or contraction of the matter caused by energy loss.

Introduction. The equations of hydrodynamics correspond to local conservation of some charges as well as energy and momentum. The equations are scale-invariant, hence can be applied to phenomenological description of physical phenomena from collisions of heavy nuclei to collisions of galaxies. Recently, a lot of experimental and theoretical effort went into the exploration of hydrodynamical behaviour of strongly interacting hadronic matter in non-relativistic as well as in relativistic heavy ion collisions, see for example refs. [1]-[7]. Due to the non-linear nature of the equations of hydrodynamics, exact solutions of these equations are rarely found. In ref. [2] an exact solution of hydrodynamics of expanding fireballs was found 20 years ago. The purpose of this Letter is to present and analyze a new, exact solution of the non-relativistic hydrodynamical equations, with a generalization to heat production or loss (e.g. due to radiation). We hope that the results presented herewith may be utilized to access analytically the time-evolution of the hydrodynamically behaving strongly interacting matter as probed by non-relativistic heavy ion collisions [4, 5]. The results are, however, rather general in nature and they can be applied to any physical phenomena where the non-relativistic hydrodynamical description is valid.

Adiabatic expansion. Consider a hydrodynamical system described by the *continuity equation*, the *Euler equation* and the *local energy conservation*:

$$\frac{\partial n}{\partial t} + \nabla(\mathbf{v}n) = 0, \quad (1)$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla \right) \mathbf{v} = - \frac{\nabla P}{mn}, \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial t} + \nabla(\mathbf{v}\varepsilon) = -P\nabla\mathbf{v}. \quad (3)$$

We close this set of equations with the help of an ideal gas equation of state,

$$\varepsilon(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{3}{2}P(\mathbf{r}, t), \quad (4)$$

$$P(\mathbf{r}, t) = n(\mathbf{r}, t)T(t). \quad (5)$$

These equations are solved by

$$n(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{N}{(2\pi R^2(t))^{3/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{r^2}{2R^2(t)}\right), \quad (6)$$

$$T(t) = \frac{T_0}{\varphi(t)}, \quad R^2(t) = R_0^2\varphi(t), \quad \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{\dot{\varphi}(t)}{2\varphi(t)}\mathbf{r}, \quad (7)$$

$$\varphi(t) = \left(1 + \frac{t-t_0}{\tau}\right)^2 + \frac{T_0}{T_G} \left(\frac{t-t_0}{\tau}\right)^2, \quad T_G = mu_0^2, \quad (8)$$

where $u_0 = R_0/\tau$ is the characteristic expansion rate. This is a new solution of the non-relativistic hydrodynamical equations [1]. The flow field has the same distribution as in the solutions found by Zimányi, Bondorf and Garpman 20 years ago [2], however the temperature and the density profiles are different.

Now consider the phase-space distribution function f belonging to this hydrodynamical solution. This distribution has a locally Maxwell-Boltzmann (MB) shape everywhere, all the time:

$$f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) = C \exp\left(-\frac{r^2}{2R^2(t)} - \frac{(\mathbf{p} - m\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r}, t))^2}{2mT(t)}\right), \quad C = \frac{N}{(4\pi^2 m T_0 R_0^2)^{3/2}}. \quad (9)$$

Suppose that the distribution is created at $t = t_0$ by an instantaneous source. It solves the following collisionless Boltzmann equation for $t > 0$:

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v}\nabla\right)f = \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t) = C \exp\left(-\frac{r^2}{2R_0^2} - \frac{(\mathbf{p} - \frac{m}{\tau}\mathbf{r})^2}{2mT_0}\right)\delta(t - t_0). \quad (10)$$

Since the system is considered after t_0 , the normalization coefficient C is constant because $T(t)R^2(t) = T_0R_0^2 = \text{const}$. Solutions to the collisionless Boltzmann equation are not uncommon, see e.g. [8], however the cited solutions generate deviations from the MB shape of the local momentum distributions, hence they are not solutions of the hydrodynamical equations.

In contrast, assuming here a special initial condition, spherical symmetry and a radial initial flow pattern, i.e. eq. (10), we find a solution of the collisionless Boltzmann equation that maintains a MB form everywhere for all times. In this sense, our solution is really a solution of hydrodynamics. Physically this corresponds to a gas that first had been thermalized in equilibrium. Later, the gas was frozen out in an idealized break-up at $t = t_0$. Our local phase-space distribution function $f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{p}, t)$ maintains its locally thermalized shape without any collisions, due to the special choice of the initial conditions and the invariance of the Gaussian shape under convolution.

We find a non-vanishing pressure maintained without collisions; the interpretation of this result is that any wall or bubble inserted into this expanding Knudsen gas would feel a pressure that arises due to the random, locally disordered motion of the free-streaming particles in any part of space. Such a pressure arising from a collisionless gas of photons is well-known in cosmology as a source of gravity, see ref. [9].

The *entropy density* can be evaluated from kinetic theory, using (9):

$$s(\mathbf{r}, t) = \left(\frac{r^2}{2R^2(t)} - \ln N + \frac{3}{2} \ln(4\pi^2 m T(t) R^2(t)) + \frac{5}{2} \right) n(\mathbf{r}, t). \quad (11)$$

By introducing the “effective volume” $V_G = (2\pi)^{3/2} R_0^3$ and integrating the entropy density over space, we find that the total entropy is

$$S(t) = S_{\text{ideal}} + \frac{3}{2} N = \text{const.} \quad (12)$$

As it was shown in [10], one can modify the thermodynamical definition of the entropy by adding terms linear in extensives, without changing the thermodynamics. Above, we got the interesting result that the entropy is almost the same as S_{ideal} , the entropy of an ideal gas at temperature T_0 in volume V_G — the difference is the extensive quantity $\frac{3}{2}N$, hence the thermodynamics of the system considered is the same as that of an ideal gas. Note that the total entropy is independent of time. It is easy to verify from eqs. (1, 7, 11) that the process is *locally isentropic*:

$$\frac{\partial s}{\partial t} + \nabla(\mathbf{v}s) = 0. \quad (13)$$

Without rescattering and other final state interactions after particle emission, the *momentum spectrum* is independent of time:

$$N_1(\mathbf{p}) = N_1(\mathbf{p}, t) = \frac{N}{(2\pi m T_*)^{3/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{p^2}{2m T_*}\right), \quad T_* = T_0 + m u_0^2. \quad (14)$$

It is worthwhile to evaluate the total energy in local disordered motion (heat energy, denoted by E_{heat}), the total energy in ordered motion (flow energy, denoted by E_{flow}) and the total energy E_{tot} . One obtains that

$$E_{\text{tot}} = \frac{3}{2} N T_* = \text{const}, \quad E_{\text{heat}} = \frac{3}{2} N T(t), \quad E_{\text{flow}} = E_{\text{tot}} - E_{\text{heat}}, \quad (15)$$

where the time dependence of the local temperature is given by eqs. (7-8).

The *two-particle spectra* $N_2(\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, t_1, t_2)$ are also independent of time if $t_1, t_2 > t_0$. The two particle distribution function can be written in terms of the emission function S in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} N_2(\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, t_1, t_2) &= \int_{-\infty}^{t_1} dt'_1 \int d^3 r_1 \int_{-\infty}^{t_2} dt'_2 \int d^3 r_2 (S(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{p}_1, t'_1) S(\mathbf{r}_2, \mathbf{p}_2, t'_2) \\ &\pm S(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{K}/2, t'_1) S(\mathbf{r}_2, \mathbf{K}/2, t'_2) \cos[\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2)]), \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{K} = (\mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{p}_2)/2$, $\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{p}_2$ and the “+” sign refers to bosons, “-” to fermions, if the final state Coulomb and other interactions are negligible. S vanishes for $t'_{1,2} > t_0$ so the time integration is independent of the upper bound $t_{1,2}$ as long as $t_{1,2} > t_0$. Therefore N_2 is independent of t_1 and t_2 .

Because both the single and the two-particle spectra are independent of time, the two-particle *correlation function* must also be *independent of time*:

$$C_2(\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2) = \frac{N_2(\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2)}{N_1(\mathbf{p}_1)N_2(\mathbf{p}_2)} = 1 + e^{-R_*^2 \mathbf{q}^2}. \quad (16)$$

So the radius parameter of the correlation function, R_* is time independent. We can calculate it at t_0 , the time of particle production. Let $u_0 = R_0/\tau$. The result

$$\frac{1}{R_*^2} = \frac{1}{R_T^2} + \frac{1}{R_0^2} = \frac{1}{R_0^2} \left(1 + \frac{mu_0^2}{T_0} \right) \quad (17)$$

is a generalization of the result in ref. [4] for arbitrary values of u_0 .

More general solutions. The above presented solution of the non-relativistic hydrodynamical equations can be generalized in many ways. For instance, a straightforward way would be to introduce an inhomogeneous temperature profile [11]. Such a temperature profile is present in a known analytic solution, see ref. [2]. Here we will investigate the possibility in our model to describe the effects of some local heat production or heat loss. A simple model is introduced to mimic the effects of heat production by chemical or nuclear reactions, or the cooling of the system by radiation that decreases the local energy density. The microscopic details of these processes are not sought for and the sources of heat production, or the radiated energies are not part of the system under consideration, so heat production or radiation changes the ‘total’ energy.

Let us introduce an additional term into the energy balance equation (3).

$$\frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial t} + \nabla(\mathbf{v}\varepsilon) + P\nabla\mathbf{v} = \frac{3}{2}j(t)n(\mathbf{r}, t)T(t). \quad (18)$$

This new term is the simplest possible model of heat production ($j(t) > 0$) or energy loss e.g. due to radiation ($j(t) < 0$). The heat loss or heat production is assumed to be proportional to the local internal energy.

Now we have — in place of eqs. (7) — a more general Gaussian solution of the continuity equation:

$$T(t) = T_0 \frac{h(t)}{\varphi(t)}, \quad R^2(t) = R_0^2 \varphi(t), \quad \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{\dot{\varphi}(t)}{2\varphi(t)} \mathbf{r}, \quad (19)$$

where h is so far an unknown function. This parameterization satisfies the boundary conditions for the temperature and the radius if $\varphi(t_0) = h(t_0) = 1$.

By substituting the expressions of the energy density (4), the pressure (5) and the particle density (6) into the modified energy equation (18), and using the parameterization (19), we get the following differential equation for h :

$$\dot{h}(t) = h(t)j(t). \quad (20)$$

Note that adding source terms to the energy balance equation results in a deviation from the isentropic expansion or contraction (13). From (11), (1), (19) and (20), the local entropy production is

$$\frac{\partial s}{\partial t} + \nabla(\mathbf{v}s) = \frac{3}{2}jn. \quad (21)$$

The solution of eq. (20) is

$$h(t) = e^{\int_{t_0}^t dt' j(t')}. \quad (22)$$

The function $\varphi(t)$ can be determined from the Euler equation,

$$\ddot{\varphi}(t)\varphi(t) - \frac{1}{2}\dot{\varphi}^2(t) = \frac{2T_0}{mR_0^2}h(t). \quad (23)$$

Supposing $j(t) = \text{const} \neq 0$, equation (23) has two exponential solutions:

$$\varphi(t) = e^{\frac{1}{2}j \cdot (t-t_0)}, \quad h(t) = e^{j \cdot (t-t_0)}, \quad j = \pm \sqrt{\frac{16T_0}{mR_0^2}}. \quad (24)$$

In terms of temperature, radius and velocity, the solution reads as:

$$T(t) = T_0 e^{\frac{1}{2}j(t-t_0)}, \quad R^2(t) = R_0^2 e^{\frac{1}{2}j(t-t_0)}, \quad \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{j}{4}\mathbf{r}. \quad (25)$$

In case of $j > 0$, we have an exponential expansion and warming up driven by an external energy source. The opposite case is the exponential contraction of the matter, for $j < 0$. It may be caused by the continuous emission of energy by radiation. The flow field is independent of time in both cases.

Algorithm to generate new solutions. One can generate even infinitely many new analytical solutions to the equations of non-relativistic hydrodynamics with energy producing or radiative processes, using the following method:

1) Assume a functional form for $\varphi(t)$ and choose (T_0, m, R_0^2) so that

$$\ddot{\varphi}(t_0) - \frac{1}{2}\dot{\varphi}^2(t_0) = \frac{2T_0}{mR_0^2}, \quad \varphi(t_0) = 1. \quad (26)$$

2) Determine the function $h(t)$ from eq. (23).

3) Find the energy source function $j(t)$ from eq. (20).

This way, a solution of eqs. (1-2, 18) is generated. The only requirement for consistency arises from $T(t) > 0$, which results in $h(t)/\varphi(t) > 0$. The solution is given by eq. (19) with $\varphi(t)$, $h(t)$ and $j(t)$ generated by steps 1)-3).

Summary. We found a new class of solutions for the non-relativistic hydrodynamical equations, describing a spherically expanding Knudsen gas with time dependent but location independent temperature.

Then we incorporated into our formalism the possibility to describe the effects of the emission or absorption of heat. In case of the simplest analytic

solution, the system expands or contracts exponentially, while the flow field becomes independent of time in this special case.

Finally, we presented an algorithm that generates infinitely many new analytical solutions of the hydrodynamical equations with energy sources.

Acknowledgements: The idea of this paper emerged in a conversation with M. Plümer at the Columbia University. T. Csörgő thanks to L. P. Csernai and J. Zimányi for stimulating discussions. This work has been supported by the US-Hungarian Joint Fund, MAKÁ 652/1998, by an NWO - OTKA grant N025186 as well as by an OTKA grant T026435.

References

- [1] L. P. Csernai, *Introduction to Relativistic Heavy Ion Collisions* (Wiley, Chichester, 1994)
- [2] J. P. Bondorf, S. Garpman and J. Zimányi, *Nucl. Phys.* **A296**, 320 (1978).
- [3] B. Lukács, *KFKI preprint* - 1978 - 82, presented at the Heavy Ion Physics School, Mátrafüred, Hungary, October 1978
- [4] T. Csörgő, B. Lörstad and J. Zimányi, *Phys. Lett.* **B338**, 134-140 (1994); nucl-th/9408022
- [5] J. Helgesson, T. Csörgő, M. Asakawa, and B. Lörstad, *Phys. Rev.* **C56**, 2626 (1997); nucl-th/9506006
- [6] T. Csörgő and B. Lörstad, *Phys. Rev.* **C54**, 1390 (1996)
- [7] Proceedings of Quark Matter'96, P. Braun-Munzinger, H. J. Specht, R. Stock and H. Stöcker, eds, *Nucl. Phys.* **A610** (1996)
- [8] J. I. Kapusta, L. McLerran and D. K. Srivastava, *Phys. Lett.* **B283**, 145 (1992); L. P. Csernai and I. N. Mishustin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **74**, 5005 (1995); S. Gavin and B. Muller, *Phys. Lett.* **B329**, 486 (1994)
- [9] J. Ehlers, in *Relativity, Astrophysics and Cosmology*, (D. Reidel, Dordrecht, ed. W. Israel, 1973) p.1.
- [10] B. Lukács and K. Martinás, *Phys. Lett.* **A114** 306 (1986)
- [11] T. Csörgő, nucl-th/9809011